

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,341	12/17/2004	Cecile Antoinette Carola Maria Van Els	28902.0014 1241		
30827 759	90 04/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	ONG & ALDRIDGE	HURT, SHARON L			
1900 K STREET WASHINGTON	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	.,	1648			
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/518,34	1	VAN ELS ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Sharon Hu		1648					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date									

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9 and 15-20, are drawn to a method for diagnosis of an MHC class II haptotype-specific immune response to an H-RSV antigen in a subject.

Group II, claim(s) 10, is drawn to a method to evaluate the correlation of protection against H-RSV infection with vaccination in a subject.

Group III, claim(s) 11-12, are drawn to a method for immunizing a subject against an H-RSV antigen in an MHC class II haptotype-specific manner.

Group IV, claim(s) 13-14, are drawn to a method for preventing or treating H-RSV infection in a subject.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1648

The claims are drawn to different methods or inventions, specifically different methods for a similar product. Since the claims present a multiple materially different methods of use, unity of invention is lacking.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons:

Group I is directed to a method for diagnosis of an MHC class II haptotype-specific immune response to an H-RSV antigen in a subject. However, because the method is not novel, see Levely et al., (Immunodominant T-Cell Epitope on the F Protein of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Recognized by Human Lymphocytes. Journal of Virology, July 1991, Vol. 65, No. 7, pages 3789-3796), no special technical feature exists of Group I as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, because it does not define a contribution over the prior art. The technical feature of Groups II-IV are drawn to methods having different goals, method steps and starting materials, which do not require each other for their practice and do not share the same or a corresponding technical feature. Note that PCT Rule 13 does not provide for multiple products or methods within a single application. Because the technical feature of Group I is not special technical feature, and because the technical feature of the Groups II-IV inventions are not present in the Group I claims, unity of invention is lacking.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/518,341

Art Unit: 1648

. 4

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sharon Hurt whose telephone number is 571-272-3334.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Housel James can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharon Hurt

April 17, 2006

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600